

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT[281]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 281—Chapter 41
“Special Education”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 256.7 and 256B.3
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code chapter 256B and 34 CFR Part 300

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

August 11, 2026
9 to 10 a.m.

Room B50
Grimes State Office Building
Via videoconference:
meet.google.com/qjc-zbv-u-pmx
Or dial: (US) +1 410.995.8223
PIN: 697 039 136#

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Education no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Thomas A. Mayes, Rules Coordinator
Department of Education
Grimes State Office Building
400 East 14th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.281.8661
Email: thomas.mayes@iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

These proposed technical corrections provide additional support for students with disabilities who are placed outside of their district of residence.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
 - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**
School districts, area education agencies, and the Department will bear any costs.
 - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**
Schools and families will benefit from the clarity this proposed rulemaking will provide.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
 - **Quantitative description of impact:**

There is no known quantitative impact.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

There is an unquantifiable qualitative impact associated with increased rule clarity.

3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

The Department will bear routine enforcement costs (e.g., the costs of monitoring and enforcing any school law).

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

No effects were noted or anticipated.

4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

The statute requires rules, so no comparison is necessary.

5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

The statute requires rules, so no determination was made.

6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

The statute requires rules, so no alternatives were considered.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

The statute requires rules, so no alternatives were considered.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no known impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Amend paragraph 41.116(4)“b” as follows:

b. Additional questions concerning special school placement. When some or all of an eligible individual's special education is to be provided in a special school (which, for purposes of this rule, is defined as an educational environment for students with disabilities, including anything labelled as a special school, special program, or separate location, outside of the public school building that the child would otherwise attend), the individual's IEP, or an associated or attached document, shall include specific answers to the following additional four questions:

(1) to (4) No change.

ITEM 2. Amend paragraph 41.903(2)“a” as follows:

a. Ensuring that all the requirements related to the development of each eligible individual's IEP are met, including attending or otherwise participating in each eligible individual's IEP team meetings.